

non-resident petitioners sentenced by a tribunal not of the United States); Flick v. Johnson, 174 F.2d 983, 985-86 (D.C. Cir. 1949) (courts of the United States have no authority to review petitions for writs of habeas corpus on behalf of alien non-resident petitioners sentenced by a tribunal not of the United States, even though petitioner was held by the United States Army); Omar v. Harvey, – F.3d –, Case No. 06-5126, 2007 WL 420137, at *6 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 9, 2007) (the Hirota Court’s “primary concern was that the petitions represented a collateral attack on the final judgment of an international tribunal.”).

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in open court on February 27, 2007, it is hereby

ORDERED that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DENIED; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED from the docket of this

Court; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall constitute a FINAL JUDGMENT in this case. This is a final appealable order. See Rule 4(a), Fed. R. App. P.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ _____
PAUL L. FRIEDMAN
United States District Judge

DATE: February 27, 2007